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Coverage Policy

Cigna does not cover ANY of the following local injection therapies, ablative treatments, electrical stimulation or neurosurgeries for the treatment of cervicogenic headache or occipital neuralgia because these interventions are considered experimental, investigational or unproven (this list may not be all-inclusive):

- botulinum toxin type A*
- cervical microdecompression surgery (Jho Procedure)
- cryodenervation
- discectomy and spinal fusion
- electrical stimulation of occipital nerve
- ganglionectomy
- nerve root decompression
- neurectomy
- occipital nerve neurolysis
- pulsed radiofrequency ablation
- radiofrequency ablation
- radiofrequency denervation
- radiofrequency neurotomy
• rhizotomy

*For additional information on the use of botulinum toxin type A, refer to the Coverage Policy Botulinum Therapy.

General Background

Cervicogenic headache and occipital neuralgia are syndromes whose diagnosis and treatment have been reported as controversial in the medical literature due to lack of expert consensus regarding their etiology and treatment. The terminology refers to specific types of headache thought to arise from impingement or entrapment of the occipital nerves and/or the upper spinal vertebrae. Compression and injury of the occipital nerves within the muscles of the neck and compression of the second and third cervical nerve roots are generally thought to be responsible for the symptoms, including unilateral and occasionally bilateral head, neck and arm pain. The convergence of the afferents of the upper three cervical spinal nerves is thought to be responsible for this head pain that arises from the neck. Generally accepted causes of head pain originating in the neck include: developmental abnormalities, tumors, ankylosing spondylitis, rheumatoid arthritis, and osteomyelitis. Controversial causes include: cervical disc herniations, degenerative disc disease, and whiplash injuries (Zhaou, 2012; Evans, 2004; Biondi, 2001; Vincent, et al., 1998; Bogduk, 2001).

The International Headache Society (IHS), through expert consensus, created a headache classification system designed to provide a uniform nomenclature for diagnosis of individual headache. The IHS criteria are regarded as the gold standard for diagnosis of all types of headaches. Headache and facial pain are classified by the IHS into primary, secondary, and other etiologies. Primary headaches are without obvious causative factors and include migraine, tension and cluster headaches. The secondary headaches include headaches attributed to disorders of the head and neck (i.e., cervicogenic headache) and cranial neuralgias (i.e., occipital neuralgia). The IHS notes that tumors, fractures, infections and rheumatoid arthritis of the upper cervical spine have not been validated formally as causes of headache but are accepted. Cervical spondylosis and osteochondritis are not accepted valid causes (Taylor, 2004; IHS, 2004).

Cervicogenic Headache

The clinical features of cervicogenic headache may mimic those associated with primary headache disorders (e.g., tension-type headache, migraine, or hemicrania continua), making it difficult to distinguish among headache types. Cervicogenic headache is characterized by continuous, unilateral head pain radiating from the occipital areas to the frontal area, with associated neck pain and ipsilateral shoulder or arm pain. The headache is moderate in intensity with a non-throbbing character. It is described as a dull, boring, dragging pain that can fluctuate in intensity. The duration of headache may range from a few hours to several days and, in some cases, several weeks. The pain is exacerbated by neck movements and is usually caused by neck trauma. Associated symptoms, such as nausea, photophobia, phonophobia, dizziness, blurred vision, and dysphagia, may be present but are generally not pronounced (Kwiatkowski, 2014; Biondi, 2005; Martelletti, 2004; Peters, 2004).

The IHS considers the diagnostic criteria for cervicogenic headache as follows (IHS, 2004):

- pain referred from a source in the neck and perceived in one or more regions of the head and/or face
- clinical, laboratory and/or imaging evidence of a disorder or lesion within the cervical spine or soft tissues of the neck, known to be, or generally accepted as, a valid cause of headache
- evidence that the pain can be attributed to the neck disorder or lesion, based on either clinical signs that implicate a source of pain in the neck or abolition of headache following diagnostic nerve block
- pain resolving within three months after successful treatment of causative disorder or lesion

Occipital Neuralgia

Occipital neuralgia is one type of cervicogenic headache described as pain in the distribution of the greater and lesser occipital nerves, associated with posterior scalp dysesthesia or hyperalgesia. The pain is described as a lancinating, sharp, throbbing, electric shock–like pain. Two broad categories of individuals with occipital neuralgia are those with structural pathologic changes and those without an apparent cause. Proposed causes include myofascial tightening, trauma of C2 nerve root (whiplash injury), prior skull or suboccipital surgery, other type of nerve entrapment, idiopathic causes, hypertrophied atlantoepistrophic (C1-2) ligament, sustained neck
muscle contractions, and spondylosis of the cervical facet joints. Most patients with occipital neuropathy do not have discernible lesions. Occipital neuralgia may occur as an intermittent or a continuous headache. In continuous occipital neuralgia, the headaches may be further classified as acute or chronic. In general, there are no neurologic deficits from occipital neuralgia. However, the pain may result in significant limitations in activities of daily living. The diagnosis of occipital neuralgia is generally made clinically on the basis of history and physical examination. Imaging may help confirm the diagnosis when there is an anatomic cause. Diagnostic local anesthetic nerve blocks may be required for a definitive diagnosis to be obtained; these blocks are done with or without the addition of corticosteroid. The relief of pain after a diagnostic local anesthetic block of the greater and lesser occipital nerves is generally confirmatory of the diagnosis of occipital neuralgia (Singla, 2008).

The IHS considers the diagnostic criteria for occipital neuralgia as follows (IHS, 2004):

- paroxysmal, stabbing pain, with or without persistent aching between paroxysms, in the distribution(s) of the greater, lesser and/or third occipital nerves
- tenderness over the affected nerve
- pain eased temporarily by local anesthetic block of the nerve

Treatments

Numerous treatments for cervicogenic headache and occipital neuralgia have been proposed, with varying levels of success. The consensus on standard treatment does not exist, because of the variability in patient selection and clinical outcomes. Pharmacological treatment with oral analgesics, anti-inflammatory medications, tricyclic antidepressants, and anticonvulsant medications have been used alone or in combination with other treatment modalities. Other methods suggested are: the use of a cervical collar during the acute phase; physical therapy with stretching and strengthening exercises; postural training; relaxation exercises; transcutaneous nerve stimulation (TENS); and manual therapy, including spinal manipulation and spinal mobilization (Bogduk, et al., 2009; Singla, 2008; Biondi, 2005, 2001; Martelletti, et al., 2004).

In a review of medical textbooks, commonly used treatments for pain relief from cervicogenic headache and occipital neuralgia include the use of local injected anesthetics, with or without the addition of corticosteroid preparation, to block the affected nerve(s). It is noted that these injections can be used as therapeutic treatment measures for pain relief, although the duration of pain relief varies from hours to months. However, the scientific evidence regarding injection therapy or percutaneous nerve block for occipital neuralgia and cervicogenic headache has been limited (Zhaou, 2012; Singla, 2008; Peters, 2004; Chavin, 2003).

Pharmacological and alternative treatment modalities are not effective for some individuals, and therefore other methods have been proposed, such as local injections of anesthetics and/or steroids and epidural steroid injections. Botulinum Toxin Type A (Botox® A) has been investigated as a treatment of occipital neuralgia and cervicogenic headaches (Kapural, et al., 2007; Freund, et al., 2000).

Ablative treatments (e.g., pulsed radiofrequency ablation, radiofrequency ablation, radiofrequency neurotomy, radiofrequency denervation, neurolysis, cryodenervation, nerve root shizotomy) have been investigated attempt to denervate the occipital and/or upper cervical nerve. Surgical interventions have been investigated as a treatment option to relieve impingement of the nerve root(s) and thereby eliminate symptoms caused by compression and injury to the cervical nerves, including but not limited to, ganglionectomy, nerve root decompression, cervical microdecompression ((Jho Procedure) (Zhang, et al., 2011; Ducic, et al., 2009; Lee, et al., 2007; Haspeslagh, et al., 2006; Gille, et al., 2004; Wang, et al., 2002; ; Biondi, 2001; Freund, et al., 2000; Jansen, 2000; Reale, et al., 2000; Sjaastad, et al., 2000; van Suijlekom, et al., 2000; Pikus, et al., 1996; Anthony, 1992; Bovim, et al., 1992b; Koch, et al., 1992).

Electrical stimulation has been proposed as a treatment for occipital neuralgia. Electrical stimulation can be delivered transcutaneously, percutaneously and by using an implantable device. Peripherally implanted nerve stimulation entails the placement of electrodes near or on a selected peripheral nerve such as the occipital nerves at the base of the head. Percutaneous or open implantation of a neurostimulator electrode array is a technique being investigated for treatment of chronic pain such as occipital neuralgia. Electrical stimulation is delivered by a pulse generator and an electrode that is placed subcutaneously at the site of maximum pain rather than at the site of the nerve. This technique also referred to as subcutaneous target stimulation or peripheral nerve field stimulation.
For information on the coverage of peripheral nerve field stimulation for the treatment of chronic pain, please refer to the Cigna HealthCare Coverage Position, Omnibus Codes.

**Literature Review**

**Local Injection Therapy**

There is a lack of well-designed, randomized control studies in the peer-reviewed literature relating to Botox A therapy as an effective treatment for cervicogenic headache or occipital neuralgia. The limited evidence comes primarily from small retrospective case series studies. Long term outcomes have not been reported in the studies. Further controlled studies are required to assess the efficacy of this approach in a large series of patients with cervicogenic headache or occipital neuralgia (Kapural et al., 2007; Martelleti, et al., 2004; Freund, et al., 2000; Hobson, et al., 1997).

For information on the coverage of Botox A for the treatment of occipital neuralgia or cervicogenic headache, please refer to the Cigna HealthCare Coverage Position, Botulimum Therapy.

**Neurosurgery**

A number of different surgical procedures have been investigated for the treatment of occipital neuralgia and cervicogenic headache. Several small retrospective case series studies have reported positive effects of various surgical treatments. However, there were recurrences of pain and varying levels of pain relief and duration. No specific characteristics could be identified that were predictive of a positive outcome or sustained response to treatment. Larger studies with longer periods of follow-up are needed to confirm the benefits reported in the available studies.

In a retrospective chart review, Pisapia et al. (2012) evaluated 29 patients who had undergone C2 nerve root decompression (n=11), C2 dorsal root ganglionectomy (n=10), or decompression followed by ganglionectomy (n=8). The overall results stated that 19 of 29 patients (66%) experienced a good or excellent outcome at most recent follow-up. A total of 34% of the patients reported poor outcome in that the headache was unchanged or worse at a mean follow-up of 45 months. Of the 19 patients who completed the telephone questionnaire (mean follow-up 5.6 years), patients undergoing decompression, ganglionectomy, or decompression followed by ganglionectomy experienced similar outcomes. Of 19 telephone responders, 66% rated overall operative results as very good or satisfactory and 37% poor rated overall operative results as unchanged or worse. The study was limited by its size and lack of control group.

In a retrospective chart review, Acar et al. (2008) evaluated 20 patients who had undergone C2 and/or C3 ganglionectomies for intractable occipital pain. Patients were interviewed regarding pain relief, pain relief duration, functional status, medication usage and procedure satisfaction, preoperatively, immediately postoperative, and at follow-up (mean 42.5 months). C2, C3 and consecutive ganglionectomies at both levels were performed on 4, 5, and 11 patients, respectively. All patients reported preoperative pain relief following cervical nerve blocks. Average visual analog scale scores were 9.4 preoperatively and 2.6 immediately after procedure. Ninety-five percent of patients reported short-term pain relief (<3 months). In 13 patients (65%), pain returned after an average of 12 months (C2 ganglionectomy) and 8.4 months (C3 ganglionectomy). Long-term results were excellent, moderate and poor in 20, 40 and 40% of patients, respectively. Cervical ganglionectomy offers relief to a majority of patients, immediately after procedure, but the effect is short lived. The authors reported that cervical ganglionectomy offers relief to a majority of patients, immediately after procedure, but the effect is short lived.

In a retrospective study (n=10), Gille et al. (2004) evaluated a new surgical treatment for greater occipital neuralgia consisting of neurolysis of the greater occipital nerve and section of the inferior oblique muscle. All of the patients had pain exacerbated by flexion of the cervical spine. Mean follow-up was 37 months. The results of the treatment were assessed based on: degree of pain on a visual analog scale (VAS) before surgery, at three months, and at last follow-up; consumption of analgesics before surgery and at follow-up; and the degree of patient satisfaction at follow-up. Anatomic anomalies (i.e., hypertrophy of the venous plexus around C2, nerve penetration of the inferior oblique muscle, and degenerative C1–C2 osteoarthritis) were found in three patients. The mean VAS score was 80/100 before surgery and 20/100 at last follow-up. The majority of the patients were satisfied or very satisfied with the operation. Patients reported a decrease in analgesic consumption.
Kapoor et al. (2003) reported in a retrospective study the results of 17 patients with occipital neuralgia who underwent intradural rhizotomies after experiencing positive results from computed tomography (CT) fluoroscopy-guided C2 or C3 nerve root blocks. Immediately after surgery, all patients had complete pain relief. Patients were followed for a mean of 20 months. At follow-up, 11 patients (64.7%) had complete relief of symptoms; two (11.8%) had partial relief, and four (23.5%) had no relief. Of the nine patients who had undergone previous surgery, four reported complete relief (44.4%); four patients (44.4%) reported no relief, and one reported partial relief. Eight out of 16 (50%) reported more activity and function after surgery; however, 25% felt they were either unchanged or less functional than before surgery. There was a trend toward better response to rhizotomy in patients without prior head or neck surgery. The study was limited by its size and lack of control group.

Jansen (2000) reported in a retrospective study the results of three different surgical treatments in 102 patients with cervicogenic headache that had been nonresponsive to physical or drug therapy. A group of 38 patients were treated with C2 ganglionectomy, and 64 patients with demonstrable spinal structural abnormalities were treated with dorsal or ventral spinal decompression and fusion. Complete relief of pain was reported by 80% of the entire group, and 60–80% relief was experienced by approximately 15% of patients; 6% of patients experienced no relief of pain. Mean duration of pain relief varied: five months for dorsal decompression, 14 months for ventral decompression and 44 months for C2 ganglionectomy.

Pikus et al. (1996) reported in a retrospective study a total of 39 microsurgical decompression procedures of the C2 root and ganglion in 35 patients who met diagnostic criteria for cervicogenic headache. Long-term, pain-free outcome (assessed after a mean of 21 months) was achieved by 33% of patients. Another 46% of patients reported adequate relief, while 21% had recurrence of pain at an average of 18 months after surgery. No specific prognostic characteristics were discernible from the analysis performed on the patient population.

Bovim et al. (1992b) investigated the immediate and long-term results of surgical release of the greater occipital nerve within the trapezius for treatment of patients who previously had relief of the symptoms of cervicogenic headache with nerve blockade. Of 50 patients responding to a questionnaire sent to 58 patients, 46% reported immediate relief, and 36% reported some immediate improvement. However, after a mean follow-up of 14.5 months, only 56% of patients felt that the procedure had been beneficial. The authors recommended further investigation into the efficacy of alternative procedures.

**Other Treatment Modalities**

A variety of other therapeutic modalities have been studied for the treatment of occipital neuralgia and cervicogenic headache that do not respond to pharmacological and/or physical therapy (e.g., ablative treatments and electrical stimulation of the occipital nerve). Larger studies with longer periods of follow-up are needed to confirm the benefits reported in the available studies.

**Ablative:** In a retrospective study, Huang et al. (2012) reported on pulsed radiofrequency (PRF) for occipital neuralgia to determine whether any demographic, clinical, or treatment characteristics are associated with success. A total of 102 patients with a primary diagnosis of occipital neuralgia were treated with PRF of the greater and/or lesser occipital nerve. A positive primary outcome was predefined as ≥ 50% pain relief lasting at least three months. The secondary outcome measure was procedural satisfaction. A total of 51% of the patients experienced ≥ 50% pain relief and satisfaction with treatment lasting at least three months. This study was limited by design and lack of long-term outcomes.

In a prospective study, Vanedleren et al. (2010) reported on the results of six months of follow-up in which patients presenting with clinical findings suggestive of occipital neuralgia and a positive test block of the occipital nerves underwent a pulsed radiofrequency procedure of the nerves. Mean scores for pain, quality of life, and medication intake were measured one, two, and six months after the procedure. Pain was measured by the visual analog and Likert scales, quality of life was measured by a modified brief pain questionnaire, and medication intake was measured by a Medication Quantification Scale. Approximately 52.6% of patients reported a score of six (pain improved substantially) or higher on the Likert scale after six months. No complications were reported. This study was limited by design of the study and lack of long-term outcomes.

In a prospective study, Halim et al. (2010) reported on 86 patients who had undergone lateral C1-2 joint pulsed radiofrequency application, for cervicogenic headache in a single pain center. The percentage of patients who had 350% pain relief at two months, six months, and one year were 50% (43/86), 50% (43/86), and 44.2%
(38/86), respectively. Long-term pain relief at six months and one year were predicted reliably by ≥50% pain relief at two months (p<0.001). One patient complained of increased severity of occipital headache lasting several hours. This study was limited by design of the study and lack of long-term outcomes.

In a retrospective study, Lee et al. (2007) studied the clinical efficacy of radiofrequency cervical zygapophyseal joint neurotomy in patients with cervicogenic headache. A total of thirty patients suffering from chronic cervicogenic headaches for longer than six months and showing a pain relief by greater than 50% from diagnostic/prognostic blocks were included in the study. These patients were treated with radiofrequency neurotomy of the cervical zygapophyseal joints and were subsequently assessed at one week, one month, six months, and at 12 months following the treatment. The results of this study showed that radiofrequency neurotomy of the cervical zygapophysial joints significantly reduced the headache severity in 22 patients (73.3%) at 12 months after the treatment. The limitations of this study include the lack of a control group and small sample size.

In a randomized controlled study, Haspeslagh et al. (2006) compared the efficacy of a radiofrequency treatment with treatment by local injection of the greater occipital nerve in patients with cervicogenic headache (n=30). Fifteen patients received a sequence of radiofrequency treatments (cervical facet joint denervation, followed by cervical dorsal root ganglion lesions when necessary), and the other 15 patients underwent local injections with steroid and anesthetic at the greater occipital nerve, followed by TENS when necessary. Visual analogue scores for pain, global perceived effects scores, quality of life scores were assessed at 8, 16, 24 and 48 weeks. Patients also kept a headache diary. There were no statistically significant differences between the two treatment groups at any time point in the trial. The authors reported that they did not find evidence that radiofrequency treatment of cervical facet joints and dorsal root ganglion is an effective treatment for patients fulfilling the clinical criteria of cervicogenic headache. The authors reported that many patients in clinical practice are treated with neurotomies despite the lack of evidence for positive outcomes.

In a randomized, double-blind, placebo-controlled study, Stovner et al. (2004) studied radiofrequency denervation of facet joints C2 through C6 in cervicogenic headache (n=12). The patients had some improvement three months after treatment, but there were no marked differences between the two groups, concluding that the procedure is probably not beneficial for cervicogenic headaches.

Govind et al. (2003) studied 49 patients with occipital headaches who underwent percutaneous radiofrequency neurotomy. Eighty-eight percent of the patients achieved a successful outcome (complete relief of pain for at least 90 days). The median duration of relief in these patients was 297 days. While the results were promising in this study, it lacked a control group which leads to difficulties in interpretation of the findings.

Electrical Stimulation: In a systematic review, Jasper and Hayek (2008) evaluated the strength of evidence that occipital nerve stimulation is an effective treatment of benign headache. Varied types of headache etiologies including migraine, transformed migraine, chronic daily headache, cluster headache, hemicrania continua, occipital neuralgia, and cervicogenic headache have been studied with peripheral nerve field stimulation and found responsive to stimulation of the suboccipital region, known commonly as occipital nerve stimulation. No randomized controlled trials were identified. Occipital nerve stimulation was reportedly successful for 70–100% of patients. The authors reported that reduction of pain in patients with occipital headaches and transformed migraine is significant and rapid with occipital nerve stimulation. No long-term adverse events occurred. Several short-term incidents occurred including infection, lead displacement, and battery depletion. The authors reported that the body of evidence as a whole is limited.

In a prospective case series study, Melvin et al. (2007) investigate the effectiveness of peripheral nerve stimulation in reducing occipital headache pain. This was a two-week pilot study involving 11 patients evaluated before and after implantation of PNS systems to treat C2-mediated occipital headaches. Most patients (91% and 64% respectively) reported reductions in medication use and numbers of headaches. Patients also reported a reduction in headache symptoms and the impact of headaches on activities. Two adverse events were encountered, one due to a loose connection and, the other caused by lead migration. The study design lacked randomized patient selection and a control group, and its data were collected by clinical staff rather than an independent third party, which could have influenced the patients’ responses.

Slavin et al. (2006) analyzed records of 14 patients with intractable occipital neuralgia treated with peripheral nerve stimulation. All of the patients in the study were diagnosed with chronic, intractable occipital neuralgia.
Overall, 23 occipital nerves were stimulated in 14 patients. Seventeen trials in 10 patients were considered successful, and those patients had permanent internalization of the stimulator. At the time of the last follow-up examination (mean 22 months), seven patients with implanted peripheral nerve stimulation had adequate pain control. Two patients had their systems explanted because of loss of stimulation effect or significant improvement of pain, and one patient had part of their hardware removed because of infection. The authors stated this study had a large variation between patients in regard to the etiology of their occipital neuralgia; therefore, they were unable to find any correlation between etiology of occipital neuralgia and the outcome of stimulation.

In a case series study, Weiner et al. (1999) studied bilateral or unilateral percutaneous peripheral nerve electrical stimulation in 13 patients with medically refractory occipital neuralgia. In seven patients ablative therapies such as cryotherapy or C2 rhizotomy had also failed. The authors reported that this procedure provided 50% or greater relief of pain for all for up to five years (mean 2.4 years). Nine patients reported > 75% pain relief. In one patient symptoms of occipital neuralgia resolved completely and the device was explanted. The method of pain measurement was not reported and the study did not assess quality of life. A limitation of this study is the small number of study participants.

Professional Societies/Organizations
The American Association of Neurological Surgeons (AANS) patient website states, “Often, occipital neuralgia symptoms will improve or disappear with heat, rest, physical therapy including massage, anti-inflammatory medications, and muscle relaxants. Oral anticonvulsant medications such as carbamazepine and gabapentin may also help alleviate pain. Percutaneous nerve blocks may not only be helpful in diagnosing occipital neuralgia, but can also help alleviate pain. Nerve blocks involve either the occipital nerves or in some patients, the C2 and/or C3 ganglion nerves. It is important to keep in mind that repeat blocks using steroids may cause serious adverse effects.” Surgical intervention (i.e., microvascular decompression, occipital nerve stimulation) may be considered when the pain is chronic, severe and does not respond to conservative treatment” (AANS, 2013).

Use Outside of the US
No relevant information.

Summary
The available evidence from the small number of studies published in the peer-reviewed literature is insufficient to conclude that local injection therapy, ablative treatment, electrical stimulation and/or surgery are effective treatments for occipital neuralgia or cervicogenic headache. The limited data suggest that some patients may obtain a short-term benefit from the use of local injections, ablative treatment, electrical stimulation and/or surgery, along with a reduction in pain; however, the long-term efficacy remains unknown.

Coding/Billing Information

Note: 1) This list of codes may not be all-inclusive.
2) Deleted codes and codes which are not effective at the time the service is rendered may not be eligible for reimbursement.

Experimental/Investigational/Unproved/Not Covered when used to report local injection therapy, ablative treatment, electrical stimulation and/or neurosurgery for the treatment of cervicogenic headache or occipital neuralgia:

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>CPT Codes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>62281</td>
<td>Injection/infusion of neurolytic substance (eg, alcohol, phenol, iced saline solutions), with or without other therapeutic substance; epidural, cervical or thoracic</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63020</td>
<td>Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disk; one interspace, cervical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63035</td>
<td>Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPT Code</td>
<td>Description</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63040</td>
<td>Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disk, single interspace, cervical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63043</td>
<td>Laminotomy (hemilaminectomy), with decompression of nerve root(s), including partial facetectomy, foraminotomy and/or excision of herniated intervertebral disk, reexploration, single interspace, cervical</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63048</td>
<td>Laminectomy, facetectomy and foraminotomy (unilateral or bilateral with decompression of spinal cord, cauda equina and/or nerve root(s), eg, spinal or lateral recess stenosis), single vertebral segment; each additional segment, cervical, thoracic, or lumbar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63075</td>
<td>Diskectomy, anterior, with decompression of spinal cord and/or nerve root(s), including osteophytectomy; cervical, single interspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63076</td>
<td>Diskectomy, anterior, with decompression of spinal cord and/or nerve root(s), including osteophytectomy; cervical, each additional interspace</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63185</td>
<td>Laminectomy with rhizotomy; one or two segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>63190</td>
<td>Laminectomy with rhizotomy; more than two segments</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64550</td>
<td>Application of surface (transcutaneous) neurostimulator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64553</td>
<td>Percutaneous implantation of neurostimulator electrodes; cranial nerve</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64555</td>
<td>Percutaneous implantation of neurostimulator electrodes, peripheral nerve (excludes sacral nerve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64568</td>
<td>Incision for implantation of cranial nerve (e.g. vagus nerve) neurostimulator electrode array and pulse generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64569</td>
<td>Revision or replacement of cranial nerve (e.g. vagus nerve) neurostimulator electrode array, including connection to existing pulse generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64570</td>
<td>Removal of cranial nerve (e.g. vagus nerve) neurostimulator electrode array and pulse generator</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64575</td>
<td>Incision for implantation of neurostimulator electrodes; peripheral nerve (excludes sacral nerve)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64585</td>
<td>Revision or removal of peripheral neurostimulator electrodes</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64613</td>
<td>Chemodenervation of muscle(s); neck muscle(s) (eg, for spasmodic torticollis, spasmodic dysphonia) (code deleted 12/31/2013)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64615</td>
<td>Chemodenervation of muscle(s); muscle(s) innervated by facial, trigeminal, cervical spinal and accessory nerves, bilateral (eg, for chronic migraine)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64616</td>
<td>Chemodenervation of muscle(s); neck muscle(s), excluding muscles of the larynx, unilateral (eg, for cervical dystonia, spasmodic torticollis)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64633</td>
<td>Destruction by neurolytic agent, paravertebral facet joint nerve(s), with imaging guidance (fluoroscopy or CT); cervical or thoracic, single facet joint</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64634</td>
<td>Destruction by neurolytic agent, paravertebral facet joint nerve(s), with imaging guidance (fluoroscopy or CT); cervical or thoracic, each additional facet joint (List separately in addition to code for primary procedure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64640</td>
<td>Destruction by neurolytic agent; other peripheral nerve or branch</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64716</td>
<td>Neuroplasty and/or transposition; cranial nerve (specify)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64722</td>
<td>Decompression, unspecified nerve(s), specify</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64744</td>
<td>Transection or avulsion of; greater occipital nerve.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>64999†</td>
<td>Unlisted procedure, nervous system</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0282T</td>
<td>Percutaneous or open implantation of neurostimulator electrode array(s), subcutaneous (peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation), including imaging guidance, when performed, cervical, thoracic or lumbar, for trial, including removal at the conclusion of trial period</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
| 0283T    | Percutaneous or open implantation of neurostimulator electrode array(s),
subcutaneous (peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation), including imaging guidance, when performed, cervical, thoracic or lumbar; permanent, with implantation of a pulse generator

0284T Revision or removal of pulse generator or electrodes, including imaging guidance, when performed, including addition of new electrodes, when performed

0285T Electronic analysis of implanted peripheral subcutaneous field stimulation pulse generator, with reprogramming when performed

†Note: Experimental, Investigational, Unproven and Not Covered when used to report ganglionectomy, neurectomy or pulsed radiofrequency ablation of the occipital nerve.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>HCPCS Codes</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>E0720</td>
<td>Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation (TENS) device, 2 lead, localized stimulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0730</td>
<td>Transcutaneous electrical nerve stimulation device, four or more leads, for multiple nerve stimulation</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>E0745</td>
<td>Neuromuscular stimulator, electronic shock unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0585</td>
<td>Injection, onabotulinumtoxinA, 1 unit</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>J0586</td>
<td>Injection, abobotulinumtoxinA, 5 units</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8680</td>
<td>Implantable neurostimulator electrode, each</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8683</td>
<td>Radiofrequency transmitter (external) for use with implantable neurostimulator radiofrequency receiver</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8685</td>
<td>Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, rechargeable, includes extension</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L8686</td>
<td>Implantable neurostimulator pulse generator, single array, nonrechargeable, includes extension</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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